

Homology (& domains)

 Absolute basis of any comparative analysis, affects MSA and trees, detection still being improved,







Gene / protein sequence evolution: what is homology

- Definition homology (biology)
- structures are said to be homologous if they are alike because of shared ancestry.
- Classic: arms, ~ bird wings, ~ bat wings,
- Genes/proteins/stretches of dna: sequence and/or structural similarity because derived from the same ancestral sequence

Gene / protein sequence evolution: what is homology

- Homologous residues = alignment
- Parts of proteins can be homologous while others are not
- i.e. genes (or part thereof) share common ancestry: the nature of this ancestry could bespeciation, duplication, horizontal gene
- transfer -> need trees to detect thisWhat is the history of my gene -> different parts can have different histories!

Trees vs blast, phylogeny vs

homology

- Blast/hmm/psi-blast tell you
 - How likely it is that two (parts) of a sequence are homologous or not (and how high the similarity between a profile and a sequence of between two sequences is)
 - Which portions of the sequences are significantly similar, and thus helps to establish which section of which sequence is homologous to which section of which other sequence.
 - Homologous is a yes/no thing
- Trees/phylogeny tell you
 - How the sequences are related, i.e. In which order they diverged

Homology detection has to be done carefully: garbage in garbage out

- Non homologous sequences will be aligned by e.g. clustalx *and* any phylogeny program will make a tree
- Similarly unaligned sequences or very poorly sequences will nevertheless be turned into a tree by any phylogeny program

Gene / protein evolution: beyond blast, "distant homology"

- Not obvious by blast
 Substantial divergence, due to time and/or speed
- Use "profile" Profile works better because: is built from a multiple alignment of homologous sequences, contains more information about the sequence family than a single sequence. The profile allows one to distinguish between conserved positions that are important for defining members of the family and non-conserved positions that are variable among the members of the family. More than that, it describes exactly what variation in amino acids is possible at each position by recording the probability for the occurrence of each amino acid along the multiple alignment.

ECGHR ECGHR ECNHR ECNHR C R G R TCQQR SIGNR (Also: e.g. is the F there because it is aromatic or because it is bulky hydrophobic)

"distant homology" in practice

- PSI-BLAST / jack-hmmer a multiple sequence alignment is generated on the fly to detect which residues/positions characterize the family.
- And/or use CDD, PFAM or SMART
 - Experts have collected representative and divergent members of a gene family and use HMMer or RPS-BLAST to see if your query sequence belongs to this gene family (i.e. is homologous to the members)
 - clearer/cleaner than psi-blast or blast. But limited to curated knowledge

Gene / protein evolution: Distant homology

- alignment-vs-alignment, Profile-vs-profile, HMM vs HMM comparison (whereas HHMer, PSI-BLAST compare a profile to a single sequence)
- "works" because

Used tools: HHsearch/hhpred, PRC, compass

ACRNG ACRNG ACGNR ACGNR C C

TCQQL TCQQL

TFQQI TCILL

How do we know it works? Benchmark via manually curated database of superfamilies

- 3D structure comparison/alignment plus visual inspection of multiple sequence alignment by Alexey Murzin; emphasis on idiosyncratic similarities
- The results of this are stored in the SCOP database
- Superfamily same fold, shared ancestry VS Fold shared ancestry not known / disproven
- (Blundel's bus)







Superfamily!

- Structural similarity unexpected, as p31 does not share obvious sequence similarity with Mad2 that is detectable by regular sequence-alignment algorithms.
- Structure-based sequence alignment: Mad2 and p31 do share limited sequence similarity,
- E.g. R35 and E98 are invariable residues in all Mad2 proteins. Form a buried salt bridge buried helping specify the Mad2 fold. R84 and E163 in p31 are equivalents. They also form an analogous (????) interior salt bridge conserved among p31 proteins
- The similarity between Mad2 and p31 sequences that specify their folds suggests that Mad2 and p31 have evolved from a common ancestor



- PRC searches of p31 profile versus a database of PFAM profiles and Mad2 profiles and reciprocal searches of Mad2 profile versus a database of PFAM profiles and p31 profile.
- Best hit of p31 is Mad2 at e=0.019, best hit of the Mad2 is p31 at 0.038.
- Although these are borderline hits they are significant, the alignments are nearly full-length and they are each others reciprocal best hits.
- Retrieve "salt-bridge"
- p31comet is an ancient duplication of Mad2 from before the last eukaryotic common ancestor.
- (NB I expect normally duplications from before LECA do not require PRC/hhpred, e.g. kinases, small-GTPases)



Homology and fold ok; what about function?

- To what extent do homologs/"proteins in a protein family", have the same function?
- Structure determines function? Fold != exact structure
- Relevant for function prediction
- · Relevant for evolution of function

E(nzyme) C(ode) number: a hierarchical system to describe enzymatic function

- EC 1 Oxidoreductases
- EC 2 Transferases
- EC 3 Hydrolases
- EC 4 Lyases
- EC 5 Isomerases
- EC 6 Ligases
- EC 2.7 Transferring phosphorus-containing groups
- EC 2.7.7 Nucleotidyltransferases
- EC 2.7.7.6 DNA-directed RNA polymerase



Homology ~ molecular function

- Protein kinases, RhoGAPs,
- Difficult with SH2, RING fingers,
- Even more difficult with WD40, TPR

Using distant homology for function prediction: example from (just) before PSI-BLAST & HMMer

Secreted Fringe-like Signaling Molecules May Be Glycosyltransferases. Cell. 1997 Jan 10;88(1):9-11. Y. Yuan, J. Schultz, M. Mlodzik, P. Bork

Homology is transitive

• i.e. if A is homologous to B and B is homologous to C, than A should be homologous C.







Protein domains: structural definition: separate in structure

a structural domain ("domain") is an element of overall structure that is selfstabilizing and often folds independently of the rest of the protein chain



Protein domains: sequence/evolutionary definition: Separate in "evolution"

- Homologous parts of proteins that occur with different "partners"
- Mobile
- Modules
- Almost always same as structural definition











Ramifications for function prediction & understanding of cellular processes: "one domain one (molecular) function" (in contrast to one gene one function)

- This bit does this and that bit does that
- E.g.
 - multidomain enzymes
 - Signalling proteins

Disclaimer 1: non-globular regions

- Low complexity
- Unstructured, Elongated (as opposed to globular) Many polar/charged residues; few hydrophobic residues
- parts of proteins that do not posses a clear 3D structure
- Convergence
- Do not obey PAM or BLOSUM













How to deal with coiled-coil proteins in homology / orthology searches?

- No one really knows / no accepted method / but needed for evolutionary cell biology
- Coiled coil is especially a problem for iterative methods (psi-blast / jack-hmmer) i.e. if you see e.g. myosin / dynein / spectrin; ABORT
- Only use globular & non-coiled coil part of the protein.
- Use blast hopping?

Disclaimer 3: protein motifs Signal peptides Lipid anchoring Trans-membrane Kinase consensus motifs Can convergently evolve yet still important to predict

																					_					_									_	
	Α	nna	re		n	t		lì	n	e	12	A	σ	ρ	1	S	n	e	1	ni	f	ì	2	(L	S	1	1	σ	ρ	r	16	2	ς	5	
		PPS										1	Ð	~		9	۲				1			١	-	~	4	4	Ð	~	1		-	9	2	
			_																																	
			ablia	ior	ipanum	NB	mm	ja	rolae	(GINB)	Ŵa	niculi	236	oformans	yous	nbe	lytica	Nermondi	1SenV	brata	evisiee	tis	ssypli	minearum	1554	erotiorum	Thiris	SUBIN	SING.	igatus	gans	Vanogaster	viens	sources	coldum	tolytica
		- fai	(BUI)	falc	per	Part -	tau	ŝ	tha.	89	GU	S.	. net	m8	ð,	ŝ	gu,	hBr	50	CBV	(act	ĝ	gra	0.0	SCI	in in	P.	for the	5	ele.	8	89	Ē	8	Pist.	
•	NUP145	KOG0845	10	4	0	E 2	3	2	0	V.	20	3	3	2	2	5	7	0	8	7	5	×	E C	E.	2	3	C	× a	R a	4	5	0	E a	2	0	1
^	SEC13	KOG1332		2	1	2	1	1	1	2	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1	NUP84	KOG1964			Ľ	F		1		1	1			1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
	SEH1	KOG2445		F	F	F				1	1		1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
	NUP85	KOG2271			F	F		F	F	1	1			1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1								1	1	1	1	1	
	NUP120	KOG8539																	1	1	1	1	1													
	ALL DATE	KOCODIE		1					1		00		~	0	0			0	0	- 7	11	0		~	0				0	-	~			0		
	NUD84	KOG1984		14		2	J	1		1	1	0	0	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	4	1	
	SEH1	K0G2445			⊢	-	-		-	1	1	-	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-
	NUP85	KOG2271		F	F	F		F	F	1	1	F		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1		1		1	1	1	1	1	
	NUP120	KOG8539		F	t	F	-	F	F				-						1	1	1	1	1													-
					-			_		_		_				-	_						_	_		_						-		_	_	
U	SEC13	KOG1332		2	1		1	1	1	2	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	SEH1	KUG2445			Ľ				Γ.	1	1		1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
	NUP84	KOG1964						1		1	1			1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
	SEH1	KOG2445			F	F				1	1		1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
	NUP85	KOG2271			F	F		F	F	1	1			1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1								1	1	1	1	1	
	NUP120	KOG8539			1														1	1	1	1	1													



What about apparent lineage specific genes? (LS) Four possibilities are generally proposed

- 1. Loss in all but one lineage: unlikely and where did the gene come from in the first place.
- LS genes formed by the recombination/duplication of exons/ORFS from other genes i.e. ~ duplication but I would not call them LS and we would still see homology 2. unless option 4
- from random ORFs. Should show similarity to non coding 3. DNA in other species, semantics (still homolog) is unlikely that such a protein would be functional. But has been shown to happen for extensions i.e. 3' shift of stop codon, 5' shift of start codon. & recently for small ORFs
- Some genes evolve at a rapid rate and so can no longer be recognized as orthologues of the genes they diverged from after a certain time span. OR after duplication! 4.





- New genes have low expression (Carvunis et al. 2012 Nature)
- Low expression leads to fast sequence evolution (Drummond and Wilke 2008 Cell)
- So chicken and egg ...



"Anything goes" in (genome) evolution

• Some lineage specific genes/families are the result of

– coding becoming non-coding,

- And others from
 - extreme sequence (and structure?) divergence after duplication or speciation

Irrespective of important source of innovation in genome evolution is novel gene families, which NB reveal that novel gene families play pivotal role in eukaryogenesis



- Distant homology / iterative or clustered homoloy searches lead to
 - "Protein families"
 - "Protein domains"
 - They are the same thing but emphasize different aspects
 - (blackboard)